Content available at: https://www.ipinnovative.com/open-access-journals

Journal of Dental Specialities

Journal homepage: https://www.jdsits.in/

Original Research Article

Comparative assessment of the prognostic value of laser assisted root canal treatment and conventional root canal treatment

Purnima Radesh¹*, Sakshi Sharma¹, Prashansa Sharma¹, Ankit Singh¹, Vimal Arora¹

¹Clove Dental - Head Office, New Delhi, India
 ²ITS Centre for Dental Studies and Research, Muradnagar, Uttar Pradesh, India



ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 29-02-2024 Accepted 22-03-2024 Available online 04-04-2024

Keywords: Laser-assisted root canal treatment (LART) Antimicrobial photodynamic therapy (aPDT) Photobiomodulation therapy (PBMT) Clinician reported outcomes (ClinRo) Lasers Root canal treatment(RCT)

ABSTRACT

Aims: Endodontics is a branch of dentistry which deals with complex architecture of dental root associated pathology, diagnosis, prevention, and treatment of dental pulp and associated periradicular tissue. The aim of this study is to conduct a comparative analysis of prognostic value of laser-assisted root canal treatment (LART) and conventional root canal treatment (RCT).

Materials and Methods: A retrospective analysis of 50 cases equally divided between Laser-assisted root canal treatment (LART) and conventional RCT, was done using Clinician-reported Outcome (ClinRo) protocol by modifying Chugal et al scoring criteria.

Results: Statistical analysis using Mann-Whitney U tests revealed no significant correlation between gender and total treatment quality score. However, significant correlation was found between treatment modalities, and with laser assisted RCT treatment it showed a superior correlation and better prognostic results.

Discussion: The radiographic analysis focused on periapical lesions and root canal filling quality. Laserassisted RCT demonstrated a higher proportion of complete healing cases for periapical lesions and improved root canal filling quality compared to conventional RCT.

Conclusion: The study supports the potential benefit of laser assisted RCT in non-surgical endodontic interventions. Laser-assisted RCT showed better prognosis in terms of periapical healing and root canal filling quality when compared to conventional RCT. The study encourages further research and clinical validation to establish laser assisted RCT as a viable option in endodontic therapy.

This is an Open Access (OA) journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons AttribFution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprint@ipinnovative.com

1. Introduction

Endodontics is a branch of dentistry that incorporates the biology of healthy dental pulp, in addition to aetiology, pathology, diagnosis, prevention and treatment of diseases and injuries of dental pulp and associated peri-radicular conditions. The aim of endodontic treatment (commonly known as root canal treatment) is decimation of diseased dental pulp residue, cleansing the root canal system using biomechanical instrument and adjunct chemical treatments, and obturation of the canals with an inert material and use of intracanal medicament for disinfection and for inflammation reduction. An ideal root canal obturation should be done using an obturating material which is well adapted to canal walls to seal the prepared root canal ensuring dense compaction, thus preventing chances of reinfection or post endodontic complications. The presence of voids in obturation whether it is in apical, coronal or at entire length will result in incomplete obturation, which would allow microleakage, thus increase probability of bacterial regrowth, secondary infection, and poor prognosis of endodontic treatment, i.e. failure.

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: drpurnima1992@gmail.com (P. Radesh).

https://doi.org/10.18231/j.jds.2024.010 2320-7302/© 2024 Author(s), Published by Innovative Publication. The speciality discipline of endodontics has made progress in the technical aspect of root canal treatment over the last two decades, which has provided clinicians with new designs for files and new devices such as use of nickel titanium (NiTi) rotary files^{1,2} and use of magnification.³ With adoption of these advancements, has drastically reduced the time and efforts of clinician by providing excellent biomechanical preparation of pulpal canal thus leading to positive outcome of endodontic treatment.⁴

Despite the high success rate of RCT when performed by experienced practitioners, yet epidemiological studies such as El Quarti⁵ and Meirinhos⁶ have shown higher prevalence of apical periodontitis associated with post endodontic treatment, hence resulting in failure attributed to reinfection.^{4–11} This failure is often attributed to the persistence of infection, with several reports indicating a correlation between poor-quality RCT and AP.

The main caustic pathogen in the event of reinfection or non-healing apical infection is Enterococcus faecalis¹² at times are present alongside other microorganisms such as yeast^{13,14} and fungi such as Candida albicans.^{15,16} these microorganisms are persistent and tricky as they can gain entrance into tooth via various pathways and establish anaerobic colonies¹⁷ in various parts of the tooth, other than root canal for e.g. dentine tubules, lateral canals, transverse anastomosis between canals.^{18,19}

To eliminate this challenge posed by anaerobic microbes vigorous irrigation with antimicrobial irrigating solution e.g. Sodium hypochlorite(NaOCl) and application of intracanal medicament following biomechanical preparation of root canal preparation is considered the 'gold standard' in endodontic treatments.²⁰ However the intricate three dimensional anatomy of root canal limits the penetration of NaOCl or intracanal medicament into the dentinal tubules, root dentin etc, potentially increasing the risk of treatment failure.²¹

In last 15 years, lasers have also become a part of dental clinical practice and is being used in respect to maxillofacial surgery, implant surgery, periodontal and endo-periodontal surgical intervention. In 1980, the first research paper was published stating lasers use in periodontal procedure²² but in recent years, laser assisted root canal treatment (LART) has emerged as a promising adjunct to conventional RCT widely used for controlling infection, promoting periapical healing and avoiding reinfection through antimicrobial photodynamic therapy(aPDT) and photobiomodulation therapy (PBMT).²³

aPDT (photodynamic therapy) is a non-invasive therapeutic method utilizing three components of light sensitive agent such as photosensitizer which is generating reactive oxygen species (ROS) and singlet oxygen (1O2) leading to microbial cell damage.²⁴

On the contrary, photobiomodualtion therapy employs a non-ablative photonic energy to modulate cellular behaviour

resulting (PBMT)r address the challenge posed by microbial infection, the use of local antimicrobial irrigating solutions, such as sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl), in combination with mechanical instrumentation, has been considered the "gold standard" in endodontic therapy. However, the complex three-dimensional anatomy of root canals limits the penetration of NaOCl into root dentin, potentially increasing the risk of treatment failure.

In recent years, laser-assisted root canal treatment (LART) has emerged as a promising adjunct to conventional RCT. The use of lasers in endodontics offers several advantages, including enhanced disinfection capabilities, improved removal of the smear layer, and reduced postoperative pain. Laser energy can effectively target bacteria within the root canal system and aid in the decontamination of intricate root canal anatomy, ultimately improving treatment outcomes.

The use of lasers in endodontic therapy has been developed through antimicrobial photodynamic therapy (aPDT) and photobiomodulation therapy (PBMT), both of which have been adopted as adjunct alternatives. aPDT utilizes a photosensitizer applied inside the root canal and irradiated by a light source, generating reactive oxygen species (ROS) and singlet oxygen (1O2), leading to microbial cell damage. PBMT, on the other hand, employs non-ablative photonic energy into modulating cellular behaviour, resulting in antiinflammatory, analgesic, sterilization, reducing dentin hypersensitivity and transpiration of infected dentin and promotes formation of reparative dentin in root canal.²⁵ Both treatment modalities have shown benefits in endodontic treatment, potentially reducing the risk of failure, and improving treatment success rates.

While studies^{26–29} have investigated the effectiveness of laser assisted root canal therapy (LART) and highlighted its potential benefits, yet studies comparing the prognostic significance of conventional RCT with LART are negligible. Therefore, conducting a comprehensive comparative assessment of these two treatment modalities is crucial to provide evidence-based recommendations for clinical decision-making.

2. Aim

This cross-sectional study with aim to conduct a retrospective comparative analysis of prognostic value of laser assisted root canal treatment (LART) and conventional root canal treatment. Patients who underwent root canal treatment through conventional RCT and laser assisted root canal treatment in 2022 (i.e. from January 2022- December 2022) were included and followed upto December 2023 (i.e. 12 months follow-up period) using Clinician reported outcome (ClinRo) measure.

The primary objective of this study is to compare and assess the prognostic value of laser assisted root canal

treatment(LART) and conventional root canal treatment (RCT) by analyzing a range of clinical parameters such as survival rates, periapical healing and post-operative pain etc. with this study we aim to determine the effectiveness to analyze a range of clinical parameters, including survival rates, periapical healing, postoperative pain, and patientreported outcomes, to determine the effectiveness and superiority of one treatment modality over the other. The findings from this study can guide dental practitioners in selecting the most appropriate treatment approach based on patient-specific factors and clinical considerations.

In total, analysis of pre and post treatment radiographic images of 50 cases were carried. These cases were divided into two categories: 25 cases each category as based on the treatment they have undergone in retrospect.

3. Material and Methods

3.1. Study design

In this study, retrospectively 200 patient files were collated from PRM software version 5.2. This study included cases from patient files of patients aged between 18 - 80 years who sought endodontic treatment at our dental clinics in which 100 patient files were those with conventional RCT invoice and remaining 100 patients were for laser assisted RCT. Cases were selected based on their availability of preand post-treatment radiographic images and were allocated to either the conventional RCT or laser-assisted RCT group.

Among the collated data, cases with insufficient pretreatment, post treatment or follow up radiographs were excluded from analysis. Also, cases with poor quality of radiographs were also excluded. Therefore, out of these 200 patient files, 25 cases were selected for both treatment modalities, i.e.

- 1. Laser assisted RCT 25 cases.
- 2. Conventional RCT 25 cases.

In these cases, radiographic images obtained before treatments and follow up were assessed to evaluate the prognostic value of each treatment modality.

3.2. Conventional RCT group

The 25 cases in this group underwent root canal treatment using traditional techniques and instrumentation. The conventional RCT procedures followed standard protocols, including the use of manual or rotary files, irrigants, and obturation materials. The cases were treated by experienced endodontists who followed established guidelines.

3.3. Laser-assisted RCT group

The remaining 25 cases in this group received root canal treatment with the assistance of lasers. Laser devices with appropriate settings were used to perform various stages of

the RCT procedure, including disinfection, cleaning, and shaping of the root canal system. Trained and experienced endodontists performed the laser assisted RCT procedures. The lasers used in this study included diode lasers with 980nm wavelength are following:

- 1. Pioon H1 dental diode (from Wuhan Pioon Technology with model no. PNH210484 and PNH210490).
- 2. SOGA iLASER II Pen Type (from Shenzhen Soga Technology with model no. SNILII2308046 and SNILII23A05006).

3.4. Data collection and radiographic image analysis

pre-treatment and post-treatment radiographic All images were obtained using standardized techniques and equipment. The pre-treatment radiographic images here are referring to radiographs taken at the time the patient reported to the clinic with a chief complaint associated with the tooth. The post-treatment radiographic images here are referring to radiographs taken after the completion of root canal treatment during the followup visits i.e. 3, 6 and 12 months. Here in this study, 12-month followup images were collected for each case as suggested in Bardini et al.³⁰ The images were captured in digital format, imported, and stored in the CLOVE Dental PRM software, from where the images were extracted for analysis. As per Clinician-reported outcome (ClinRO) study protocol is where the clinician, any healthcare professional individual who is professionally trained to observe and evaluate the outcome based on the clinical status. Here, two calibrated and blinded evaluators (P.R and S.S) reviewed the cases in consensus and assessed the radiographic images to minimize bias. The evaluators allocated the score for each case assessing each criteria (Figure 1). Evaluator 1 (P.R) had more than 4 years of experience of evaluating skeletal and dental radiographs for clinical evaluation, age estimation method and dental image reconciliation for both clinical and forensic casework. Evaluator 2 (S.S) had almost two decades of expertise in dentistry as a clinician, quality auditor, and radiograph reviewer for diagnostic, prognostic, and clinical auditing purposes of dental treatment operations. While evaluator 3 (L.V.A) has almost 40 years of dental expertise and is also a veteran of the Indian army dental corps, who intervened as referee in case of difference in opinion between the two evaluators, to establish the score for the debated criteria or case in question.

The evaluators followed Clinician Reported Outcome (ClinRO) protocol and assessed the pre and post radiographic images using modified Chugal's scoring criteria.^{31–34} The study was published by Nadia et al (2017) provided the scoring criteria which can be used as baseline and calibration parameters for assessing the endodontic

treatment prognosis and optimal treatment outcomes for both conventional RCT and Laser assisted root canal treatment in this study, which are highlighted as following Table 1:

This criterion established a foundation, serving as a baseline and scoring metric for evaluating the prognosis of endodontic treatments. The parameters mentioned in this study pertain to both conventional root canal and Laser assisted root canal treatment, offering insights into optimal treatment outcomes, thus playing a pivotal role in standardizing the Clinician reported outcome evaluation process are helpful in standardizing the evaluation process, fostering uniformity in interpretation of results.

The total treatment quality is the aggregate score for each case is calculated by summing the score assigned by the clinical evaluators (P.R and S.S) to all individual parameters based on modified Chugal et. al as shown in Figure 1. As the study design is based on clinician reported outcome protocol here in this study, the observations are made by trained healthcare professional (P.R and S.S). Therefore patient satisfaction parameter mentioned in Table 1 is scored zero for all cases (i.e. in both conventional RCT and Laser assisted RCT groups). Hence total treatment quality is cumulative score of evaluated parameters providing a prognostic value of non-surgical endodontic treatment based on examination of case radiographs i.e. pretreatment radiographs and 12-month followup radiograph as post treatment radiograph. A lower total treatment quality score signifies a more favourable and efficacious treatment outcome.

Table 1 and Figure 1 also discusses radiographic findings evaluating the apical periodontal region in radiographs. The PAI (periapical index) is a scoring system introduced by Orstavik et al (1986) that uses the scale of 1 to 5 i.e. ranging from healthy to severe periodontitis. In both clinical and epidemiological studies, PAI index has been used as baseline i.e. Increase in extent of periapical radiolucency post treatment suggests failure while absence or diminution denotes the onset of the healing process. Radiographic Images were displayed on a Samsung Crystal 4K Neo Series HD TV (model BOB15GSPQW, resolution 3840 X 2160 pixels). Images were imported and enhanced for optimal visualization of endodontic treated teeth and its associated periapical regions in GIMP (GNU Manipulation Program version 2.10.34) software.

4. Result

Data collected from the radiographic analysis was statistically analyzed using SPSS software using the Mann Whitney U test. It is a version of the independent samples t -Test that can be performed on ordinary data. It is an alternative test to the independent sample test. It is a nonparametric test that is used to compare two populations. Table 2 shows a comparative analysis between the two genders and its association with total treatment quality score was calculated using Mann-Whitney U tests, as the sample is not normally disturbed. In Table 2, the population which are compared are Male Participants and Female Participants and their correlation with total treatment quality score. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. So, in Table 2, the null hypothesis is accepted as the Z value is -1.309 and the p value is 0.1905. Therefore, there is no significant correlation between gender (female and male) and total treatment quality score.

Here in Table 3 presents the correlation between the treatment modalities and the total treatment quality score utilizing the Mann Whitney U test. In this study, a two-tailed Mann Whitney U test with an investigative hypothesis was postulated with a significant level of p=0.05, suggesting that the outcome of this test is a consequence of genuine correlation between the compared groups, i.e. Laser assisted RCT and conventional RCT within a 95% confidence interval.

In Table 3, the null hypothesis is rejected, substantiated by a Z value of -3.571 and a P value of 0.000356. This outcome indicates an observed correlation between treatment modalities and total treatment quality score, in which there is a superior correlation between a laser assisted RCT and total treatment quality score.

Here, Table 4 provides information on the association between age groups and the Total Treatment Quality Score using the Kruskal-Wallis Test, which is a non-parametric test. The Total Treatment Quality Score is being analyzed within three age categories i.e 18-33 with 7 observations, 34-59 with 32 observations and 60-78 with 11 observations. Using Kruskal-Wallis Test, which is a non-parametric alternative to the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and is used to determine if there are statistically significant differences between the groups. The Chi-square value for the Kruskal-Wallis test is provided, which is 9.416 and the associated p-value (Sig.) is 0.009 is less than the significance level of 0.05. Therefore, there is evidence to reject the null hypothesis. Thus, the total treatment quality score is significantly different between at least two of age groups.

5. Discussion

To determine the prognosis in endodontically treated teeth, endodontics has undergone complicated evolution. In 1956, Strindberg outlined the essential criteria for evaluating success of endodontically treated teeth encompassing both clinical and radiographic parameters.³⁵ Following this, in 2011 a study was conducted by Ng YL et al^{36,37} conducted a study where in all the factors affecting the outcomes of non-surgical endodontic treatment were assessed majorly focusing on periapical health of the treated tooth and tooth survival post treatment. Later in 2016,

Absence of clinical symptoms:	 No pain, swelling, or tenderness to touch: Score of 1 Pain, swelling, or tenderness to touch present but not affecting daily activities: Score of 2 Pain, swelling, or tenderness to touch present and affecting daily activities: Score of 3
Radiographic evidence:	 Complete healing of periapical tissues: Score of 1 Incomplete healing or no change in periapical radiolucency: Score of 2 Worsening of periapical radiolucency: Score of 3
Periodontal probing depth:	 Decrease in probing depth or no bleeding on probing: Score of 1 Slight decrease in probing depth or bleeding on probing: Score of 2 No change or increase in probing depth or bleeding on probing: Score of 3
Tooth mobility:	 Absence of tooth mobility: Score of 1 Slight mobility: Score of 2 Severe mobility: Score of 3
Quality of root canal filling:	 Adequate length, density, and absence of voids or overfilling: Score of 1 Adequate length and density but with voids or overfilling: Score of 2 Inadequate length or density: Score of 3
Fracture resistance of the tooth:	 Tooth resistant to fracture: Score of 1 Tooth slightly weakened: Score of 2 Tooth significantly weakened: Score of 3

Figure 1: Modified criteria of scoring for outcome assessment of non-surgical endodontic treatment while following Clinician reported outcomes (ClinRo) study protocol based on Nadia Chugal (2017)

Table 1	: Descriptive criteria o	f scoring for outcome a	ssessment of non-surgical end	lodontic treatment provide	ed by Nadia Chugal (2017)
---------	--------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------------	----------------------------	---------------------------

Scori	ingbsence of Clinical	Radiographic	Peridontal	Tooth	Quality of root	Fracture	Patient
	Symptoms: The	Evidence	Probing	Mobility:	canal filing: The	resistance	satisfaction:
	absence of clinical	based on	Depth:	Tooth	quality of the root	of the	Patient
	symptoms, such as	Periapical	Periodontal	mobility	canal filling,	tooth: The	satisfaction
	pain, swelling, and	Index:	probing depth	is	including its length,	fracture	is an
	tenderness to touch,	Radiographs	is used to	another	density, and absence	resistance of	important
	indicates a	are used to	assess the	parameter	of voids or	the tooth is	criterion as
	successful outcome	assess the	health of the	that can	overfilling, is	another	it assesses
	of the treatment.	healing of the	periodontium	be used	critical for a	criterion	the
		periapical	surrounding the	to assess	successful outcome.	that can be	patient's
		tissues and the	tooth.	the		used to	perception
		quality of the		health of		assess the	of the
		root canal		the		success of	treatment
		filling.		periodontiu	ım.	the	outcome.
						treatment.	
1	No Pain, Swelling	Complete	Decrease in	Absence	Adequate Length,	Tooth	Very
	or Tenderness to	healing of	Probing depth	of	density and absence	resistant to	satisfied
	Touch	periapical tissues	or no bleeding on probing	mobility	of voids or overfilling	fracture	
2	Pain, Swelling or	Incomplete	Slight decrease	Slight	Adequate length	Tooth	Satisfied
	tenderness to touch	healing or no	in probing	mobility	and density but	slightly	
	present but not	change in	depth or		voids or overfilling	weakened	
	affecting daily	periapical	bleeding on				
	activities affecting	radiolucency	probing				
	daily activities						
3	Pain, swelling or	Worsening of	No change or	Severe	Inadequate length or	Tooth	Unsatisfied
	tenderness to touch	periapical	increase in	Mobility	density	significantly	
	present and	radiolucency	probing depth			weakened	
	affecting daily		or bleeding on				
	activities		probing				

	Group	Statisti	ics (Manı	n-Whitney Te	st)						
	Gender	Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	Mean 1	Rank	Mann-Whitney Test-Z	Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	p value (2 tailed)	
Total	Male	26	7.3846	1.69887	.33318	27.92 22.88		-1.309	.191	.190534	
	Female	24	6.7917	1.10253	.22505						
able 3:	Association	betwee	en treatme	ent modalities	and total treat	nent qualit	y score us	ing mann-whitney t	est (Non-Parame	tric Test)	
	Group Statistics (Mann-Whitney Test)										
Total	Treatm Modalit		Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	Mean Rank	Mann- Whitney Test-Z	Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	p value (2-tailed	
	Laser	•	25	6.3600	.56862	.11372	18.62	-3.571	.000	0.000356	
	Conventio	onal	25	7.8400	1.70000	.34000	32.38	-5.571	.000	0.000350	
able 4: Total	Association	betwee	en age gro	oup and total t	reatment qualit	y score usi	ng kruska	l-wallis test (Non-P	arametric Test)		
Age Gi	roups	N	J	Mean	Std. Deviatio	n Sto	l. Error	Mean Rank	Chi-square	Sig.	
0	-	7		6.0000	.00000		00000	12.50		- 6	
18-33 Y											
18-33 Y 34-59 Y		32	2	7.0625	1.31830		23304	25.89	9.416	.009	

Table 2: Association Between Gender and Total Treatment Quality Score using Mann-Whitney Test. (Non-Parametric Test)

Bergenholtz stated that during a period of observation post endodontic treatment, endodontic success is achieved as the absence of clinical symptoms and apical periodontitis.³⁸ In 2016, American Association of Endodontics categorised endodontics outcomes as either functioning, healing, nonhealed or healed. A tooth that is "functional, asymptomatic with no or minimal radiographic periradicular pathosis" is said to have healed.³⁹ (39) Several outcome studies have examined the success of endodontic treatment but, in 2017 when Chugal et al provided us with a scoring criterion which enabled quantification of prognosis of non-surgical endodontic treatment.

Therefore, in the current study is focused on modified criteria of scoring for outcome assessment of non-surgical endodontic treatment as shown in Figure 1, based on the parameters i.e. Absence of clinical symptoms, radiographic evidence, periodontal probing depth, tooth mobility, quality of root canal filling and fracture resistance of the tooth.

In this study, the radiographic analysis focused on two key parameters: periapical lesions and root canal filling quality. The presence, size, and periapical index (PAI) scores of periapical lesions were assessed, while the quality of root canal filling was evaluated based on established criteria.

Periapical lesions occur due to the presence of vital or necrotic tissue inflammation leading to acute or chronic bone resorption. In this study, we have employed RVG digital images instead of the conventional way of diagnosing periapical lesion using intraoral periapical radiographs (IOPA) as RVG digital images need less time and radiation exposure to gain the same diagnostic information, making them superior to IOPA for bone loss identification.⁴⁰ This is because digital radiograph imparts a constant addition of millimetres to measurements, while IOPA fails to impart the variation in measuring scales used.⁴¹

Faraneh et al showed that the success rate of teeth with periapical lesions was 79% in 70 cases which is lower than cases without periapical lesion.⁴² In our current study, the evaluation of periapical lesions revealed interesting findings between the two treatment groups. In the conventional RCT group, 12 cases (48%) showed a reduction in lesion size, with a mean decrease in PAI scores from 3 to 2. Additionally, 8 cases (32%) demonstrated complete healing, with PAI scores reaching 1. In the laser assisted RCT group, 19 cases (76%) displayed a reduction in lesion size, with a mean decrease in PAI scores from 3 to 2. Remarkably, 12 cases (48%) achieved complete healing, with PAI scores of 1. These results indicate that both treatment modalities contributed to the reduction and healing of periapical lesions, with laser assisted RCT demonstrating a higher proportion of complete healing cases.

Here, in this study as a part of comparative analysis of radiographic findings provides insights into the prognostic value of laser assisted RCT compared to conventional RCT. The higher proportion of complete healing of periapical lesions in the laser assisted RCT group suggests that this treatment modality may offer enhanced therapeutic efficacy. The reduction in lesion size and improvement in PAI scores observed in both treatment groups indicate the effectiveness of both conventional and laser assisted RCT in managing periapical lesions.

Zhong et al,⁴³ Santos et al, Song et al have demonstrated an association between the quality of root canal filling and outcome of non-surgical endodontically treated teeth.^{44–46} Initially, no. of roots was used as a unit of measurement for assessment of treatment outcome and has tendency to overestimate success rate. Since, the aim of this study is the comparison of prognostic value of laser assisted RCT and conventional RCT without any confounding factor, the number of roots were excluded. So here in our study the assessment of root canal filling quality also revealed noteworthy outcomes. In the conventional RCT group, 19 cases (76%) showed satisfactory root canal fillings according to the criteria outlined in Figure 1. However, the remaining 6 cases (24%) exhibited suboptimal outcomes, such as voids, overfilled or underfilled canals, or nonuniform filling material distribution. In contrast, the laser assisted RCT group demonstrated improved results, with 21 cases (84%) displaying satisfactory root canal fillings. Only 4 cases (16%) showed suboptimal outcomes. These findings suggest that laser assisted RCT may enhance the quality of root canal fillings, resulting in a higher proportion of satisfactory outcomes.

Traditional lasers, when used inside the root canal have limitations as laser light is emitted in a straight line from the tip of an optical plain-ended fibre or laser guide with divergence angle of only 18-20°, thus it is difficult to gain equal irradiation of entire root canal dentine surface.^{47,48} For enhancing irradiation fibre tips with 80% lateral and 20% forward⁴⁹ and a helicoidal withdrawing motion from apical to coronal part used fibre tips, therefore providing complete coverage to root canal walls.⁵⁰

Moreover, the improved root canal filling quality observed in the laser assisted RCT group is a significant finding. The higher percentage of cases with satisfactory root canal fillings suggests that laser assistance may facilitate more precise and controlled instrumentation, disinfection, and obturation procedures. This could potentially contribute to better sealing and reduced risk of reinfection, leading to improved long-term treatment outcomes.

Absence of clinical symptoms such as post operative pain, tenderness or swelling is another parameter which is discussed in this study, as it directly impacts the patient's quality of life.⁵¹ As per the literature which frequently associated postoperative pain with bacterial presence.⁵² Studies by Yoo et al⁵³ Mandras et.al⁵⁴ Genc et al⁵⁵ are concurrent with our research and show there is significant reduction in postoperative pain, when lasers are used as adjunct in non-surgical endodontic procedures.

Therefore, the result of the current research contributes to the expanding amount of evidence supporting the potential benefits of laser assisted RCT in non-surgical endodontic interventions.^{56–64} Laser has presented endodontics with distinctive advantages such as reduced invasiveness, enhanced debridement, and heightened disinfecting capabilities. The enhanced prognostic value observed in the laser assisted RCT group has been attributed to this hypothesis, i.e the use of laser as an adjuvant to conventional endodontic surgery leads to a significant further reduction of bacterial load, thus resulting in better prognosis.

It is crucial to acknowledge the inherent limitations of the current study. The retrospective design introduces biases such as selection bias and incomplete data, as modest sample size of 25 cases per treatment modality restricts the generalizability of the findings. Future research is required where a larger sample is employed, and prospective designs are necessary to validate these results. Furthermore, extended follow-up assessments would provide valuable insights into the enduring efficacy and stability of treatment outcomes.

To care for endodontic patients, the current study conducted a comparative analysis of radiographic data, highlighting the prognostic relevance of laser-assisted root canal treatment (RCT) compared with conventional RCT. The outcomes show that both approaches of therapy successfully repair periapical lesions and improve the quality of root canal fillings. When compared to traditional RCT, laser assisted RCT exhibits a greater frequency of full healing cases and better root canal filling results. These results highlight the need for more research and clinical validation and support the use of laser assisted RCT as a feasible option in endodontic therapy.

6. Conclusion

In 2017, Chugal et al developed a scoring criterion which allowed quantification of prognosis for non-surgical endodontic treated teeth while combining newer concepts of healing and functionality. (31) Modification in this criterion was done to fit the clinician reported outcome research design. This study analysed retrospectively collected data comparing the pre and post treatment radiographs to provide important insights into the efficacy of conventional RCT versus laser-assisted RCT. The findings of this study contribute to the growing body of evidence supporting the use of lasers as an adjunct to existing conventional treatment approach in root canal therapy will enhance the prognosis of endodontically treated teeth. This being first of its kind of study, therefore further research and larger-scale studies are warranted to validate these findings and explore other aspects of laser-assisted RCT.

7. Source of Funding

None.

8. Conflict of Interest

None.

9. Acknowledgement

The authors would like to acknowledge the support of CLOVE Dental Clinics for providing access to the patient data and radiographic images utilized in conducting this study.

References

- Chuste-Guillot MP, Badet C, Peli JF, Perez F. Effect of three nickeltitanium rotary file techniques on infected root dentin reduction. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 2006;102(2):254–8.
- Fabbro M, Afrashtehfar K, Corbella S, El-Kabbaney A, Perondi I, Taschieri S, et al. In Vivo and In Vitro Effectiveness of Rotary Nickel-Titanium vs Manual Stainless Steel Instruments for Root Canal Therapy: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. J Evid Based Dent Pract. 2018;18(1):59–69.
- Taschieri S, Fabbro MD, Testori T, Francetti L, Weinstein R. Endodontic surgery using 2 different magnification devices: preliminary results of a randomized controlled study. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2006;64(2):235–42.
- Alghamdi F, Algharni M, Baradwan O. Efficacy of Two Nickel-Titanium Rotary Root Canal Instruments compared with Different Instruments in Endodontic Treatment: A Systematic Review. Int J Med Rev Case Rep. 2020;4(7):29–34.
- Ouarti I, Chala S, Sakout M, Abdallaoui F. Prevalence and risk factors of Apical periodontitis in endodontically treated teeth: crosssectional study in an Adult Moroccan subpopulation. *BMC Oral Health.* 2021;21(1):124. doi:10.1186/s12903-021-01491-6.
- Meirinhos J, Martins JN, Pereira B, Baruwa A, Gouveia J, Quaresma SA. Prevalence of apical periodontitis and its association with previous root canal treatment, root canal filling length and type of coronal restoration-a cross-sectional study. *Int Endod J*. 2020;53(4):573–84.
- Mashyakhy M, Alkahtany M. Prevalence of apical periodontitis between root canal-treated and non-treated teeth and between genders: a cross-sectional CBCT study. *Niger J Clin Pract*. 2021;24(11):1656– 61.
- Sjögren UL, Hägglund B, Sundqvist G, Wing K. Factors affecting the long-term results of endodontic treatment. *J Endod*. 1990;16(10):498– 504.
- Estrela C, Leles CR, Hollanda AC, Moura MS, Pécora JD. Prevalence and risk factors of apical periodontitis in endodontically treated teeth in a selected population of Brazilian adults. *Braz Dent J*. 2008;19(1):34–9.
- Santos-Junior A, Pinto LDC, Mateo-Castillo JF, Pinheiro C. Success or failure of endodontic treatments: A retrospective study. *J Conserv Dent*. 2019;22(2):129–32.
- Mustafa NS, Kashmoola MA, Majeed KRA, Qader O. Assessment of the success rate of endodontically treated patients attending outpatient polyclinic. *Eur J Dent.* 2018;12(4):540–5.
- Nair PN, Henry S, Cano V, Vera J. Microbial status of apical root canal system of human mandibular first molars with primary apical periodontitis after "one-visit" endodontic treatment. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2005;99(2):231–52.
- Waltimo TM, Siren EK, Torkko HL, Olsen I, Haapasalo MP. Fungi in therapy-resistant apical periodontitis. *Int Endod J.* 1997;30(2):96– 101.
- Möller AJ. Microbiological examination of root canals and periapical tissues of human teeth. Methodological studies. *Odontol Tidskr*. 1966;74(5):1–340.
- Molander A, Reit C, Dahlén G, Kvist T. Microbiological status of root-filled teeth with apical periodontitis. *Int Endod J.* 1998;31(1):1– 7.
- Sundqvist G, Figdor D, Persson S, Sjögren U. Microbiologic analysis of teeth with failed endodontic treatment and the outcome of conservative re-treatment. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 1998;85(1):86–93.

- Peters LB, Wesselink PR, Buijs JF, Van Winkelhoff A. Viable bacteria in root dentinal tubules of teeth with apical periodontitis. *J Endod*. 2001;27(2):76–81.
- Abbott PV. Medicaments: aids to success in endodontics. Part 1. A review of the literature. *Aust Dent J.* 1990;35(5):438–48.
- Byström A, Sunvqvist G. The antibacterial action of sodium hypochlorite and EDTA in 60 cases of endodontic therapy. *Int Endod* J. 1985;18(1):35–40.
- Du T, Wang Z, Shen Y, Ma J, Cao Y, Haapasalo M, et al. Combined antibacterial effect of sodium hypochlorite and root canal sealers against Enterococcus faecalis biofilms in dentin canals. *J Endod.* 2015;41(8):1294–8.
- Okşan T, Aktener BO, Şen BH, Tezel H. The penetration of root canal sealers into dentinal tubules. A scanning electron microscopic study. *Int Endod J.* 1993;26(5):301–5.
- Pick RM, Pecaro BC, Silberman CJ. The laser gingivectomy: the use of the CO2 laser for the removal of phenytoin hyperplasia. J Periodontol. 1985;56(8):492–6.
- Hu X, Huang YY, Wang Y, Wang X, Hamblin MR. Antimicrobial Photodynamic Therapy to Control Clinically Relevant Biofilm Infections. *Front Microbiol.* 2018;9:1299. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.01299.
- Gholami L, Shahabi S, Jazaeri M, Hadilou M, Fekrazad R. Clinical applications of antimicrobial photodynamic therapy in dentistry. *Front Microbiol.* 2023;13:1020995. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2022.1020995.
- Sun G, Tunér J. Low-level laser therapy in dentistry. *Dent Clin North* Am. 2004;48(4):1061–76.
- Jurič IB, Anić I. The use of lasers in disinfection and cleanliness of root canals: a review. Acta Stomatol Croat. 2014;48(1):6–15.
- Anagnostaki E, Mylona V, Parker S, Lynch E, Grootveld M. Systematic review on the role of lasers in endodontic therapy: valuable adjunct treatment. *Dent J (Basel)*. 2020;8(3):63. doi:10.3390/dj8030063.
- Bordea IR, Hanna R, Chiniforush N, Grădinaru E, Campian RS, Sirbu A, et al. Evaluation of the outcome of various laser therapy applications in root canal disinfection: A systematic review. *Photodiagnosis Photodyn The.* 2020;29:101611. doi:10.1016/j.pdpdt.2019.101611.
- Verma A, Yadav RK, Tikku AP, Chandra A, Verma P, Bharti R, et al. A randomized controlled trial of endodontic treatment using ultrasonic irrigation and laser activated irrigation to evaluate healing in chronic apical periodontitis. J Clin Exp Dent. 2020;12(9):821–9.
- Bardini G, Casula L, Ambu E, Musu D, Mercadè M, Cotti E, et al. A 12-month follow-up of primary and secondary root canal treatment in teeth obturated with a hydraulic sealer. *Clin Oral Investi.* 2021;25(5):2757–64.
- Chugal N, Mallya SM, Kahler B. Endodontic Prognosis . In: Criteria for Outcome Assessment of Nonsurgical Endodontic Treatment. Springer; 2017. p. 211–28.
- Chugal N, Mallya SM, Kahler B, Lin LM. Endodontic treatment outcomes. *Dent Clin*. 2017;61(1):59–80.
- Chugal NM, Clive JM, Spångberg LS. Endodontic treatment outcome: effect of the permanent restoration. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2007;104(4):576–82.
- Orstavik D, Kerekes K, Eriksen HM. The periapical index: A scoring system for radiographic assessment of apical periodontitis. *Endod Dent Traumatol.* 1986;2(1):20–34.
- Strindberg LZ. The dependence of the results of pulp therapy on certain factors. An analytic study based on radiographic and clinical followup examination. *Acta Odontol Scand.* 1956;4(1):1–175.
- Ng YL, Mann V, Gulabivala K. A prospective study of the factors affecting outcomes of nonsurgical root canal treatment: part 1: periapical health. *Int Endod J.* 2011;44(7):583–609.
- Ng YL, Mann V, Gulabivala K. A prospective study of the factors affecting outcomes of non-surgical root canal treatment: part 2: tooth survival. *Int Endod J.* 2011;44(7):610–25.
- 38. Bergenholtz G. Assessment of treatment failure in endodontic therapy. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2016;43(10):753–8.

- American Association of Endodontists. Glossary of endodontic terms 2016. *Gloss Endod Terms*. 2015;9:43.
- Ashwinirani SR, Suragimath G, Jaishankar HP, Kulkarni P, Bijjaragi SC, Sangle VA, et al. Comparison of diagnostic accuracy of conventional intraoral periapical and direct digital radiographs in detecting interdental bone loss. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2015;9(2):35–8.
- Khocht A, Janal M, Harasty L, Chang KM. Comparison of direct digital and conventional intraoral radiographs in detecting alveolar bone loss. J Am Dent Assoc. 2003;134(11):1468–75.
- Farzaneh M, Abitbol S, Lawrence HP, Friedman S. Treatment outcome in endodontics-the Toronto Study. Phase II: initial treatment. *J Endod*. 2004;30(5):302–9.
- Zhong Y, Chasen J, Yamanaka R. Extension and density of root fillings and post-operative apical radiolucencies in the veterans affairs dental longitudinal study. *J Endod*. 2008;34(7):798–803.
- 44. Santos S, Soares A, Costa G, Brito-Júnior M, Moreira A, Magalhães C, et al. Radiographic parameters of quality of root canal fillings and periapical status: a retrospective cohort study. *J Endod.* 2010;36(12):1932–7.
- 45. García-Guerrero C, Delgado-Rodríguez CE, Molano-González N, Pineda-Velandia GA, Marín-Zuluaga DJ, Leal-Fernandez MC, et al. Predicting the outcome of initial non-surgical endodontic procedures by periapical status and quality of root canal filling: a cohort study. *Odontology*. 2020;108(4):697–703.
- 46. Friedman S, Mor C. The success of endodontic therapy-healing and functionality. *J Calif Dent Assoc.* 2004;32(6):493–503.
- Stabholz A, Sahar-Helft S, Moshonov J. Lasers in endodontics. *Dent Clin North Am.* 2004;48(4):809–32.
- Matsuoka E, Yonaga K, Kinoshita JI, Kimura Y, Matsumoto K. Morphological study on the capability of Er: YAG laser irradiation for root canal preparation. J Clin Laser Med Surg. 2000;18(4):215–9.
- George R, Walsh LJ. Laser fiber-optic modifications and their role in endodontics. J Laser Dent. 2012;20(1):24–30.
- Komori T, Yokoyama K, Matsumoto Y, Matsumoto K. Erbium: YAG and holmium: YAG laser root resection of extracted human teeth. J Clin Laser Med Surg. 1997;15(1):9–13.
- Law AS, Nixdorf DR, Aguirre AM, Reams GJ, Tortomasi AJ, Manne BD, et al. National Dental PBRN Collaborative Group. Predicting severe pain after root canal therapy in the National Dental PBRN. J Dent Res. 2015;94(3 Suppl):37–43.
- Seltzer S, Naidorf IJ. Flare-ups in endodontics: I. Etiological factors. J Endod. 2004;30(7):476–81.
- 53. Yoo YJ, Shon WJ, Baek SH, Kang MK, Kim HC, Lee W, et al. Effect of 1440-nanometer neodymium:yttrium-aluminum-garnet laser irradiation on pain and neuropeptide reduction: a randomized prospective clinical trial. *J Endod*. 2014;40(1):28–32.
- Mandras N, Pasqualini D, Roana J, Tullio V, Banche G, Gianello E, et al. Influence of Photon-Induced Photoacoustic Streaming (PIPS) on root canal disinfection and post-operative pain: A randomized clinical trial. *J Clin Med.* 2020;9(12):3915. doi:10.3390/jcm9123915.
- Sen OG, Kaya M. Effect of Root Canal Disinfection with a Diode Laser on Postoperative Pain After Endodontic Retreatment. *Photobiomodul Photomed Laser Surg.* 2019;37(2):85–90.

- Garcez AS, Nunez SC, Hamblin MR, Ribeiro MS. Antimicrobial effects of photodynamic therapy on patients with necrotic pulps and periapical lesion. *J Endod.* 2008;34(2):138–42.
- Garcez AS, Nunez SC, Lage-Marques JL, Hamblin MR, Ribeiro MS. Photonic real-time monitoring of bacterial reduction in root canals by genetically engineered bacteria after chemomechanical endodontic therapy. *Braz Dent J.* 2007;18(3):202–7.
- Garcez AS, Ribeiro MS, Tegos GP, Nunez SC, Jorge AO, Hamblin MR, et al. Antimicrobial photodynamic therapy combined with conventional endodontic treatment to eliminate root canal biofilm infection. *Lasers Surg Med.* 2007;39(1):59–66.
- Bergmans L, Moisiadis P, Huybrechts B, Van Meerbeek B, Quirynen M, Lambrechts P, et al. Effect of photo-activated disinfection on endodontic pathogens ex vivo. *Int Endod J.* 2008;41(3):227–39.
- 60. Bonsor SJ, Nichol R, Reid TM, Pearson GJ. An alternative regimen for root canal disinfection. *Br Dent J*. 2006;201(2):101–5.
- Garcez AS, Nunez SC, Hamblim MR, Suzuki H, Ribeiro MS. Photodynamic therapy associated with conventional endodontic treatment in patients with antibiotic-resistant microflora: a preliminary report. J Endod. 2010;36(9):1463–6.
- Estrela C, Holland R, Estrela CR, Alencar AH, Sousa-Neto MD, Pécora JD, et al. Characterization of successful root canal treatment. *Braz Dent J.* 2014;25(1):3–11.
- Strindberg LZ. The dependence of the results of pulp therapy on certain factors. An analytic study based on radiographic and clinical followup examination. *Actadont Scand.* 1956;14:1–175.
- O'dell J, Wilder-Smith P. Clinical diagnosis of pulpally involved teeth. *Clin Dent Reviewed*. 2020;4(1):20. doi:10.1007/s41894-020-00083-x.

Author biography

Purnima Radesh, Scientific Researcher/ Medical Science Liaison https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1360-9627

Sakshi Sharma, General Manager

Prashansa Sharma, Associate Professor ^(b) https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2512-8732

Ankit Singh, Senior Manager

Vimal Arora, Chief Clinical Officer

Cite this article: Radesh P, Sharma S, Sharma P, Singh A, Arora V. Comparative assessment of the prognostic value of laser assisted root canal treatment and conventional root canal treatment. *J Dent Spec* 2024;12(1):46-54.